

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,105	04/19/2004	Thomas D. Weldon	0341-0028.07	1449
7590 05/08/2006			EXAMINER	
Cook, Alex, I	McFarron, Manzo,	WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE		
Cummings & I	Mehler, Ltd.	ADTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 2850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
200 West Adai		3763		
Chicago, IL	60606		DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	 c	
		10/827,105	WELDON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Catherine S. Williams	3763		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	ss	
A SHI WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this commi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Fe</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		erits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-13 and 32-35</u> is/are pending in the additional state of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>8-13,32,33 and 35</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>34</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date		
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/17/06</u> .	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-15	2)	

Application/Control Number: 10/827,105 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS filed 2/17/06 has been reviewed in part. All of the US Patent references have been reviewed and initialed. The foreign and non-patent literature references will be reviewed upon receipt of the parent application files. He references will be initialed in the next correspondence. However, it is suggested that if applicant has readily available copies of the references that they be submitted with the next correspondence in case the references are no longer contained within the parent application files.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8-9,11-12 and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by Veltrup (USPN 4,690,672). Veltrup discloses a catheter that includes an open distal end (13) and first (2) and second (3) passageways in flow communication near the distal end. See figures 2 and 4. The device is for use in the vasculature and is percutaneously introduced and then advanced to the site of treatment. Se 2:16+. Suction draws the thrombus (tissue) into contact with the distal end. See 2:23+. A high pressure jet from the other passageway drives the thrombus into the suction passageway. See 2:26+.

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Veltrup. Veltrup meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to include the suction mechanism being a syringe. However, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to substitute a syringe for the suction mechanism of Veltrup. Application has not disclosed that using a syringe for providing suction to the device solves a problem is used for a particular purpose or provides an advantage. Furthermore, one skilled in the art would expect applicant's syringe and the suction mechanism of Veltrup to perform equally well since both device would provide a vacuum to the passage of the catheter to guide a thrombus into the passageway.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Veltrup. Veltrup meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to include the passageways being coaxial. However, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to make the passageways of Veltrup coaxial in stead of parallel. Application has not disclosed that coaxial passageways versus parallel passageways solves a problem is used for a particular purpose or provides an advantage. Furthermore, one skilled in the art would expect applicant's coaxial passageways and the parallel passageways of Veltrup to perform equally well since both device would provide a vacuum to the distal end of the catheter to guide a thrombus into the passageway.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,105 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Veltrup. Veltrup meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to include the catheter being guided by a sheath to the treatment location. However, introducer sheaths are well known in the art. One skilled in the art would reasonably known from common knowledge the advantages of using an introducer sheath for proper placement into the patient's body. For example, the introducer sheath would keep the catheter from damaging the vessel walls during insertion and would prevent the catheter from being positioned in the wrong vessel. One skilled in the art would have incorporated an introducer sheath in order to enhance the procedure and increase the safety to the patient.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 34 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-4970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,105 Page 6

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine S. Williams

Cathini S. Willi

May 1, 2006